	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/015,092	DAVIES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sam Chuan C. Yao</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Karl Schwappach.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed:		
all .		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/015,092

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending all independent claims (see Applicant's supplemental amendment for details) and to submit a terminal disclaimer to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner also informed Counsel that, claim 66 can also be amended to require a thickness range of 0.004-0.02 inches for a reinforcing structure (also see Applicant's supplemental amendment for details).